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## Background

- The wage gap exists, and in recent years more attention has been given to this issue in healthcare and the PA workforce
- The gender wage gap is often reported in raw dollar differences such as "women are compensated $80 \%$ for every dollar men are compensated."
- While true, many of these analyses do not statistically control for real occupational differences between men and women
- No existing models for PAs account for various compensation models in health care.
- This study includes productivity-based models as well as hourly models, and several other demographics not considered in past models.


## Methods

Instrument: 2019 AAPA Salary Survey, fielded February 1-28, 2019

- Included a battery of personal and workplace demographics, compensation, and benefits for calendar year 2018

Participants: The survey was open to all non-retired, U.S.-based PAs and a subset of data from this survey was analyzed for the present study. A total of 13,088 partial or complete responses were collected from PAs.

Analysis: To be included in the wage gap analysis, the respondent must have completed each question relevant to this work; 8,339 respondents were included.

## Wage Gap (Full-time PAs)

Total Compensation



Women's bonuses were smaller, and men were more likely to receive a bonus. $54.5 \%$ of male PAs reported receiving a bonus in 2018; $48.1 \%$ of women reported the same.

## Wage Gap by Years of Experience (FT)



## Wage Gap by Major Specialty Area (FT)



## Compensation-Relevant Factors by Gender

## More Male PAs:

- Take call
- Are in a formal leadership role
- Own/share practice ownership

Male PAs:

- More experienced
- Work more hours
- Work more weeks per year
- See more patients

| Variables | All PAs <br> Percent | Female PAs <br> Percent | Male PAs <br> Percent | Sig. <br> Level |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mode of Compensation |  |  |  |  |
| Base Salary | $75.4 \%$ | $75.9 \%$ | $74.1 \%$ |  |
| Hourly | $20.5 \%$ | $20.2 \%$ | $20.9 \%$ |  |
| Productivity pay | $4.2 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $*$ |
| PA took call last year | $34.9 \%$ | $32.3 \%$ | $40.7 \%$ | $* * *$ |
| PA is in formal leadership | $10.4 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ | $* * *$ |
| PA owns or shares | $1.4 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $* * *$ |
| $\quad$ ownership in practice | All PAs | Female PAs | Male PAs | Sig. |
| Variables | Mean | Mean | Mean | Level |
| Years of experience | 10.27 | 9.54 | 11.88 | $* * *$ |
| Hours worked weekly | 44.53 | 43.65 | 46.49 | $* * *$ |
| Weeks worked last year | 43.82 | 43.56 | 44.40 | $* * *$ |
| Patients per week | 66.39 | 64.40 | 70.82 | $* * *$ |

Notes: For statistical significance, ${ }^{* * *}=p<.001,{ }^{* *}=p<.01,{ }^{*}=p<.05$, either a $z$-test of column proportions (for percentages) or attest (for means).

## Do Practice Demographics "Explain Away" the Gap?

Sequential regression with gender and a gender by experience interaction term in the final step Initial Steps: Compensation-relevant predictors

- Highest level of education completed
- Race
- Ethnicity
- Geographic region of work
- Mode of compensation
- Whether a bonus was received
- Statewide Cost-of-Living Index (COLI)
- Years of experience
- Primary major specialty area
- Primary work setting
- Hours worked weekly
- Weeks worked annually
- Patients seen weekly
- Whether a PA took call
- Leadership roles
- Ownership in a practice

Final Step: Gender as a predictor of compensation

Predicted Total Compensation


## Education, Race, and Ethnicity

$\left.$| Variables | Coefficient <br> $(\boldsymbol{B} ; \mathbf{\$})$ | 95\% Confidence <br> Interval (95 CI; \$) | Standard Error (\$) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Sig. |
| :---: |
| Level | \right\rvert\,

Notes: Thirty-four percent of the variance (adjusted $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ) in total compensation was accounted for by the model. Final model $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.34$, with $R^{2}$ change for gender significant at $p<.001$. Predicted total compensation based on regression model and analysis of covariance was $\$ 113,403.59$ for female PAs and $\$ 122,413.17$ for male PAs, a difference of $\$ 9,010$, or $92.6 \%$ women $/ \mathrm{men}$.

For statistical significance ("Sig. Level"), ${ }^{* * *}=\mathrm{p}<.001, * *=\mathrm{p}<.01, *=\mathrm{p}<.05$

## Geography, Compensation Type, Bonus, COLI

| Variables | Coefficient <br> $(\boldsymbol{B} ; \mathbf{\$})$ |  | 95\% Confidence <br> Interval (95 CI; \$) | Standard Error (\$) | Sig. <br> Level |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Geographic region (Reference: Midwest) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | $-8,618.21$ | $[-11,292.85,-5,943.57]$ | $1,364.44$ | $* * *$ |  |
| Southern | $-1,114.49$ | $[-3,051.34,822.36]$ | 988.06 |  |  |
| Western | $3,400.30$ | $[982.34,5,818.27]$ | $1,233.49$ | $*$ |  |
| Mode of compensation |  |  |  |  |  |
| (reference: base salary) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annualized hourly wage | $-7,595.51$ | $[-9,616.12,-5,574.90]$ | $1,030.79$ | $* * *$ |  |
| Productivity pay | $61,293.18$ | $[57,578.86,65,007.49]$ | $1,894.81$ | $* * *$ |  |
| Additional compensation and cost-of-living |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bonus received | $6,941.55$ | $[5,610.69,8,272.42]$ | 678.92 | $* * *$ |  |
| 2018 cost-of-living index (C2ER) | 339.46 | $[281.85,397.06]$ | 29.39 | $* * *$ |  |
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## Workplace Experience and Factors

| Variables | Coefficient (B; \$) | 95\% Confidence <br> Interval (95 CI; \$) | Standard Error (\$) | Sig. Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Work experience |  |  |  |  |
| Years of experience | 827.86 | [694.54, 961.38] | 68.06 | *** |
| Hours worked weekly (primary employer) | 467.74 | [422.64, 512.84] | 23.01 | *** |
| Weeks worked last year (primary employer) | 591.15 | [515.69, 666.60] | 38.49 | *** |
| Patients per week (primary employer) | 138.28 | [117.50, 159.05] | 10.6 | *** |
| PA took call | 3,318.21 | [1,715.23, 4.921.19] | 817.74 | *** |
| PA is in a formal leadership role | 11,693.66 | [9,288.18, 14,099.13] | 1,227.12 | *** |
| PA owns or shares ownership in practice | 20,034.08 | [13,895.08, 26,173.08] | 3,131.74 | *** |
| Primary major specialty area |  |  |  |  |
| (reference: primary care) |  |  |  |  |
| Internal medicine | 7,726.85 | [5,047.72, 10,405.99] | 1,366.73 | *** |
| Pediatric subspecialties | 6,325.14 | [163.18, 12,487.11] | 3,143.45 | * |
| Surgical subspecialties | 12,663.20 | [10,447.73, 14,878.67] | 1,130.20 | *** |
| Emergency medicine | 19,516.41 | [16,035.82, 22,997.00] | 1,775.58 | *** |
| Other | 9,591.06 | [7,387.06, 11,795.07] | 1,124.35 | *** |
| No medical specialty | 6,807.87 | [485.40, 13,130.34] | 3,225.33 | * |
| Primary work setting |  |  |  |  |
| (reference: physician office or clinic) |  |  |  |  |
| Hospital | 8,199.70 | [6,299.25, 10,100.14] | 969.49 | *** |
| Other | -258.3 | [-2,949.16, 2,432.55] | 1,372.71 |  |

[^1]
## Gender and Gender X Experience

| Variables | Coefficient <br> $(\boldsymbol{B} ; \mathbf{\$})$ | 95\% Confidence <br> Interval (95 CI; \$) | Standard Error (\$) | Sig. <br> Level |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |
| Female | $-9,009.58$ | $[-11,378.59,-6,640.57]$ | $1,208.52$ | $* * *$ |
| Female $x$ Years of experience | -201.9 | $[-365.12,-38.68]$ | 83.26 | $*$ |

Notes: Thirty-four percent of the variance (adjusted $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ) in total compensation was accounted for by the model. Final model $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.34$, with $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ change for gender significant at $\mathrm{p}<.001$. Predicted total compensation based on regression model and analysis of covariance was $\$ 113,403.59$ for female PAs and $\$ 122,413.17$ for male PAs, a difference of $\$ 9,010$, or $92.6 \%$ women $/ \mathrm{men}$.

For statistical significance ("Sig. Level"), ${ }^{* * *}=\mathrm{p}<.001,{ }^{* *}=\mathrm{p}<.01,{ }^{*}=\mathrm{p}<.05$

## Sequential Regression Model: Total Compensation Among Full and Part-Time PAs

Without controlling for compensationrelevant factors, women were compensated about $\$ 0.85 / \$ 1.00$ that men were.

When controlling for compensationrelevant factors,
this wage gap shrinks to $\sim \$ 0.93 / \$ 1.00$
but the gap widens over time.

| Variables | Coefficient (B; \$) | 95\% Confidence Interval (95 CI; \$) | Standard Error (\$) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sig. } \\ \text { Level } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highest level of education completed (reference: master's) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Associate's | -4,114.62 | [-11,637.28, , 2,298.21] | 3,835.09 |  |
| Bachelor's | 1,746.09 | ${ }^{[-7882,29,4,274.46]}$ | 1,289.82 |  |
| Race (reference: white) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Black | 137.63 | [-4,584.50, , 8599.76] | 2,408.94 |  |
| American Indian or Alaskan Native | 5,699.76 | $[-6,713.44,18,112.95]$ | 6,332.44 |  |
| Asian | 1,417.10 | ${ }^{[-1,924,55,4,758,75]}$ | 1,74.70 |  |
| Native Hawaian or other Pacific Islander | --8,808.63 | [-27,389,21, ,9,71.95] | 9,478.66 |  |
| Other | 67.68 | [-5,8441.16,7,186.52] | 3,32.96 |  |
| Two or more races | -872.85 | ${ }^{[-6,041.26,4,295.56]}$ | 2,636.60 |  |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | -656.68 | [-4,171.87, 2, 858.52] | 1,79.23 |  |
| Geographic region (Reference: Midvest) |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | -8,618.21 | [-11,292.85,-5,943.57] | 1,364.44 | *** |
| Southern | -1,114,49 | [-3,051.34,822.36] | 988.06 |  |
| Western | 3,400.30 | [982,3, $, 5,818.27]$ | 1,233.49 | * |
| Mode of compensation |  |  |  |  |
| (reference: base salary) |  |  |  |  |
| Annualized hourly wage | -7,995.51 | [-9,616.12,-5,574.90] | 1,030.79 | *** |
| Productivity pay | 61,293.18 | [57,578.86, 65,007.49] | 1,894.81 | *** |
| Additional compensation and cost-ofliving |  |  |  |  |
| Bonus recived | 6,941.55 | [5,10.69, , ,272.42] | 678.92 | *** |
| 2018 cost-ofiliving index (C2ER) | 339.46 | [281.85, 397.06] | 29.39 | *** |
| Work experience |  |  |  |  |
| Years of experience | 827.86 | [694.54, 961.38] | 68.06 | *** |
| Hours worked weekly (primary employer) | 46.74 | [422.64, 512.84] | 23.01 | *** |
| Weeks worked last yar (primary emploger) | 59.15 | [51.69, 666.60] | 38.49 | *** |
| Patients per wek (primary emploger) | 138.28 | [117.50, 159.05] | 10.6 | *** |
| PA took call | 3,318.21 | [1,715.23,4.921.19] | 817.74 | *** |
| PA is in a formal leadership role | 11,693.66 | [9,28. 18, 14,099.13] | 1,227.12 | *** |
| PA owns or shares ownership in practice | 20,034.08 | [13,895.08, 26, 17.08] | 3,131.74 | *** |
| Primary major specialty area (reference: primary care) |  |  |  |  |
| Internal medicine | 7,72.85 | [5,047.72, 10,405.99] | 1,366.73 | *** |
| Pcdiatric subspecialices | 6,325.14 | [163.18, 12,487.11] | 3,143.45 | * |
| Surgical subspecialties | 12,663.20 | [10,447.73, 14, 87. 67] | 1,130.20 | *** |
| Emergency medicine | 19,516.41 | [16,035.82, 22,997.00] | 1,75.58 | *** |
| Other | 9,591.06 | [7,387.06, 11,795.07] | 1,124.35 | *** |
| No medical specialty | 6,807.87 | [485.40, 13, 130.34] | 3,25.33 | * |
| Primary work setting |  |  |  |  |
| (reference: physician office or clinic) |  |  |  |  |
| Hospital | 8,199.70 | [6,299.25, 10,100.14] | 96.49 | *** |
| Other | -258.3 | [-2,949.16, 2, 432.5] | 1,372.71 |  |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |
| Female | -9009.58 | [-11,37. 59, -6,640.57] | 1,208.52 | 崖 |
| Female X Yars of experience | -201.9 | [-365.12.-38.68] | 83.26 | * |

## Discussion

- While the unadjusted wage gap between male and female PAs is $15 \%$, it shrinks to around $7.5 \%$ when accounting for factors other than gender
- The adjusted gap in terms of dollars is \$9,009
- Larger than average annual bonus among PAs who received one
- This gap widens by $\$ 201$ for each additional year of work experience.
- All factors in the sequential multiple regression model were significant predictors of wage, except education, race, and ethnicity
- Associated with wages independently, but not significant predictors when controlling for other factors


## Future Directions

- Researchers should explore other unmeasured factors that may explain a portion of this difference.
- Exploring lifelong cost estimates of wage, given it worsens with additional work experience
- Policy considerations:
- Banning inquiries (or not requiring disclosure) about previous wages
- Laws requiring compensation statistics for companies be published
- Statistical self-audits within organizations that use regression analyses similar to those employed in this study
- Pay range standardization
- Other ways to reduce managerial discretion in wages


## Thank you!

Tim McCall, PhD (tmccall@aapa.org)
Associate Director, Surveys \& Analysis
Noël Smith, MA
Senior Director, PA \& Industry Research \& Analysis
American Academy of PAs
@aapaorg


[^0]:    Notes: Thirty-four percent of the variance (adjusted $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ) in total compensation was accounted for by the model. Final model $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.34$, with $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ change for gender significant at $\mathrm{p}<.001$. Predicted total compensation based on regression model and analysis of covariance was $\$ 113,403.59$ for female PAs and $\$ 122,413.17$ for male PAs, a difference of $\$ 9,010$, or $92.6 \%$ women $/ \mathrm{men}$.

    For statistical significance ("Sig. Level"), *** $=\mathrm{p}<.001, * *=\mathrm{p}<.01$, * $=\mathrm{p}<.05$

[^1]:    Notes: Thirty-four percent of the variance (adjusted $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ) in total compensation was accounted for by the model. Final model $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.34$,
    with $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ change for gender significant at $\mathrm{p}<.001$. Predicted total compensation based on regression model and analysis of covariance was $\$ 113,403.59$ for female PAs and $\$ 122,413.17$ for male PAs, a difference of $\$ 9,010$, or $92.6 \%$ women $/ \mathrm{men}$.

    For statistical significance ("Sig. Level"), ${ }^{* * *}=\mathrm{p}<.001$, ${ }^{* *}=\mathrm{p}<.01$, * $=\mathrm{p}<.05$

